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ABSTRACT

Modern three-dimensional (3D) ultrasound tools, HDlive and radiance system architecture or silhouette offer unique ways for assessing women with ovarian masses; providing realistic 3D reconstructions of the ovarian tumors, together with essential information to facilitate the differentiation between benignity and malignancy of ovarian masses.
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INTRODUCTION

Due to its complex embryologic development involving the three embryonic layers, the ovary can be the location of a large list of primary tumors and cancers; only comparable in variety and malignancy to those occurring within the central nervous system.

Albeit its low incidence (only 5% of all types of cancer in women), ovarian cancer is a leading cause of mortality among gynecologic cancers; moreover, from 20 to 30% of all ovarian tumors irrespective of age at diagnosis are malignant.

Many factors contribute to the elevated mortality rate, including the incidence of malignancies of aggressive histologic variety (embryonic tumors), the higher lifespan expectancy of women in modern societies which increases the chance of developing age-related diseases and the success in preventing campaigns of other gynecologic cancers, such as cervical and endometrial; finally, a silent growing into the abdominal cavity also contributes to diagnostic delay.

Given the strong correlation between prognosis and grade of extension of the disease at diagnosis; early diagnosis should be a cornerstone for management protocols; nevertheless, there is a lack of effective screening tests and a paucity of early diagnosis protocols. In clinical practice, the ultrasonographic diagnosis and characteristics of an adnexal mass determine the necessity of complementary diagnostic procedures and management.

Previous studies focused on a systematic gynecologic scanning program for early ovarian cancer detection raised questions about the effectiveness of this screening protocol. Campbell and Andolf performed 6280 abdominal scans followed by 382 surgeries to detect only five carcinomas. Kurjak, van Nagell, and Bourne obtained similar results in 3905 patients finding 11 carcinomas but requiring 134 laparotomy procedures.

A key issue for an adequate ultrasonographic screening of ovarian masses is the efficacy in the differentiation of benign vs malignant images. Our group is convinced that an experienced sonographer, on the basis of their skill and own subjective impression, could correctly determine the character of a benign or malignant adnexal mass and, in numerous circumstances, may also refer an approach to the histological nature. However, a high image quality in the evaluation of the ovarian mass has the potential for increasing the diagnostic performance of even young sonographers.

Some recent articles have showed that experienced sonographers achieve sensitivities and specificities regarding malignancy of > 95% and of > 90% respectively, and also very high sensitivity in the diagnosis of nonmalignant adnexal masses. Nevertheless, serous cystadenomas were misdiagnosed in up to 40.5% of cases.

Less experienced sonographers could be helped by scoring systems or mathematical models. Previous studies described a variety of ultrasonographic scoring systems alone (elegantly described by Finkler, Kurjak,
Granberg, Sassone, De Priest, Lerner, Ferrazzi and Merz) or in combination with vascular Doppler or tumor marker evaluation (essentially CA-125).\(^1\)\(^,\)\(^4\) None of these descriptions—including the most recent ones\(^5\)\(^,\)\(^6\) includes the evaluation of optimal sensitivity/specificity values. Finally, international study groups have described ultrasound-based prediction models to evaluate ovarian tumors (International Ovarian Tumor Analysis—IOTA), nevertheless, its clinical worldwide application by general practitioners remains to be fully tested.\(^7\)\(^-\)\(^9\)

Recently, Hata et al\(^6\) have described a combination of modern three-dimensional (3D) ultrasound and 3D power Doppler (3DPD) ultrasound as well as quantitative 3DPD histogram analysis, for the assessments of the vascularization and further categorization of adnexal masses. The addition of vascular analysis to the standard ultrasonography is not a novel concept; conventional two-dimensional (2D) sonographic Doppler was first described by Kurjak et al in early 80’s,\(^7\) this technique paved the way toward new perspectives in the evaluation of vascularity and vascular flow.\(^10\)\(^-\)\(^12\) The 3D sonographic Doppler-introduced 25 years from now and the angio power Doppler enhanced image quality, which was nicely illustrated in several publications\(^13\)\(^-\)\(^33\) (Figs 1 and 2). Doppler scans are nowadays routinely employed in gynecological consultation.\(^13\)\(^-\)\(^18\),\(^34\)\(^-\)\(^45\)

The aim of the present article is to explore state-of-the-art 3D ultrasound modalities as clinical tools in the differentiation of benign and malignant ovarian masses.

THREE-DIMENSIONAL ULTRASOUND STATE-OF-THE-ART VISUALIZATION MODES

Image quality is a key-factor to discriminate the origin, location and extension of an ovarian mass, especially when these involve complex structures. Clearer images and elimination of redundant structures and artifacts allow better understanding of the region of interest. The High Definition live (HDlive) technology is a novel ultrasound technique that improves the 3D sonographic images. HDlive uses an adjustable light source and software that calculates the propagation of light through surface structures in relation to the light direction.

The virtual light source produces artificial illumination, and the shadows created by the structures where the light is reflected are depicted. This combination of light and shadows increases depth perception, and produces remarkable photo-like images than those obtained with classic 3D ultrasound. The virtual light intensity can be moved, and the focus changed until the best image is achieved. When the light source is positioned behind the region under study, the effect of maximal translucency can be obtained.\(^10\)\(^-\)\(^15\) Several publications underline its utility in the obstetric field, nevertheless HDlive has been also explored in the gynecological field. For the purpose of the present study, HDlive may add better and more natural-looking images, better and enhanced depth perception, and importantly, a clearer vision of internal tumor structures (papillary projections, septations, cyst walls, etc.).

Fig. 1: The two-dimensional angio Doppler (left) and three-dimensional angio power Doppler (right) of a solid ovarian cancer with a fantastic view of its tumoral vascular tree
Radiance System Architecture (RAS) or Silhouette

This extremely modern technique describes a physically-based rendering system tailored to demands of lighting design and architecture. The simulation uses a light-backwards ray-tracing method with extensions to efficiently solve the rendering equation under most conditions. This includes specular, diffuse and directional-diffuse reflection and transmission in any combination to any level in any environment, including complicated, curve geometries. The simulation blends deterministic and stochastic ray-tracing techniques to achieve the best balance between speed and accuracy in its local and global illumination methods.

We tried to obtain more physical basis information with GE Healthcare (Kretztechnik, Zipf, Austria) but it has been impossible.

HDLIVE AND RAS OR SILHOUETTE IN THE DIAGNOSIS OF OVARIAN MASSES

An important clinical management in order to improve any early diagnosis in ovarian cancer by ultrasonography should begin by differentiating a group of benign tumors and physiologic structures that can be easily confused with ovarian cancer.

This includes endometriomas (Figs 3 and 4) which are easily identified, has typically gray, homogenous and echoic content; benign teratomas which have a pathognomonic feature known as the Rokitansky papillae (calcic content within the tumor) along with rest of hair, sebaceous material, and importantly a complete absence of vascularization (Figs 5 to 7).

Physiologic formations (developing follicles, hemorrhagic follicles, and corpora luteum) show an enhanced vascularization, for this reason they could be mistaken as malignant conditions if the actual scoring systems were applied.

Since pioneering publications, the criteria for categorizing benign vs malignant characteristics of ovarian masses has remained unchanged, only the visualization and the image quality has improved over time.

- Solid and homogeneous tumor component (mainly benign) is the typical image of fibroids for instances (Fig. 8).
- Solid and nonhomogeneous tumor component (mainly malignant) (Fig. 9).
- Pure cystic content (always benign) (Fig. 10).
- Cystic tumor component with small solid components or papillae. Figures 11 to 15 provide examples of the evaluation of internal surface of cystic tumors. Figures 14 and 15 show small papillary projections, highly suggestive of malignancy if evaluated using 2D sonography. However, under HDlive evaluation although irregular in shape, the surface of this projection is smooth and homogeneous, suggesting a benign finding (Figs 11 to 13). HDlive and RAS or silhouette are able to offer a clear evaluation of the internal components tend to rule-out endophytic lesions (Figs 11 to 15).
Fig. 3: Endometriosis: A typical image of gray and homogeneous echoes with a complete absence of vessels. Upper left: In brown, an ovarian endometrioma and antral follicles. Upper right: Niche mode, bottom: endometrioma in HDlive reconstruction

Fig. 4: Typical eco-gray endometrioma depicted with three-dimensional ultrasound and HDlive, showing a simple echonegative follicular cyst at the left of endometrioma

- Cystic multiseptated homogeneous tumor component (mainly benign)—HDlive is shown to be very useful in differentiating this type of masses; when compared to 2D ultrasound, it becomes easier to differentiate between cystoadenomas from cystoadenocarcinomas as shown in Figures 16 and 17.
- Cystic multiseptated nonhomogeneous tumor component (mainly malignant) (Figs 18 to 21).
- Cystic multiseptated irregular and heterogeneous tumor component (mainly malignant) (Fig. 22). HDlive and silhouette mode add information regarding the shape of the intratumoral cystic walls.
Figs 5A to F: Different types of benign teratomas: (A) Sebaceous balls, (B) Rokitansky papilla, (C) sebaceous teratoma, (D) teratoma 'mash' including hair, (E) a 'two-level' type and (F) mix type, a complex teratoma showing hair, sebaceous tissue and papillae.

Fig. 6: HDlive image in different light angles and intensities showing an ovarian benign dermoid tumor with numerous small calcified spots adopting the aspect of a cauliflower.

(Septations, and the presence of papillary projections). See Figure 18.

- Mixed (solid-cystic) tumor component (mainly malignant). This typical finding of malignancy is related to an expansive development of a part of the tumor, whereas some other areas develop degeneration or necrosis (Figs 17 and 20).

The presence of septations and papillae should be clearly observed and described, since they are of enormous help in the differentiation of benign vs malignant process. Thin and homogeneous septations are always related to benign tumors (Figs 23 and 24).

The presence of papillary projections is usually correlated to malignancy when HDlive software is used. Even
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Fig. 7: Left: HDlive image of a ‘mesh’ teratoma containing hair and sebaceous tissue. Right: radiance system architecture image of the same tumor. Notice the clear definition of the internal structure limits (hair).

Fig. 8: Three-dimensional ‘shell’ or niche image of a solid ovarian tumor with abnormal vascular component, typical of malignancy (to the left). HDlive visualization of an ovarian mass shows an almost complete solid component. Bottom right: maximum transparency view. The heterogenous component and the presence of papillary growths in the periphery highly suspicious of malignancy are clearly depicted (to the right).

Small and irregular papillae can be clearly studied, and the image obtained is superior to what is usually depicted by 2D sonography (Figs 13, 14 and 18 to 25). Some other features related with malignancy can be analyzed in-deep by HDlive and RAS, including increased thickness (more than 3 mm), irregularities of the cyst wall (Figs 17, 18, 20, 25 and 26), cyst wall rupture (Figs 5 and 15), and the presence of ascites and metastasis.

Presence of papillary projections (malignant) (Figs 12, 13, 19, 26 to 28). These fluid-filled cysts with gray color are different from those with low intensity of endometriomas (Figs 26 and 27). This liquid corresponds to the serum of a cystadenocarcinomas. They are always a sign of malignancy as well as appearance of papillae, pathognomonic for malignancy.

When studied in HDlive, these growths are marked clearly much better than 2D, and papillae are small with very irregular shapes.

- Tumoral wall thickness bigger > 3 mm (malignant) (Figs 16 to 19 and 28)
- Irregularities in the tumor wall or irregularities of the proper tumor wall (malignant) (Fig. 28)
- View of the wall rupture (mainly malignant). Although benign ovarian tumors (such as cystoadenomas, etc.) can produce wall rupture, this phenomenon is much frequent and characteristic of malignancy.
Fig. 9: Two-dimensional Doppler energy (above left), three-dimensional sonographic (above right), HDlive visualizations, and automatic volume calculation (below right) of a nonhomogeneous mainly solid ovarian mass. The heterogeneous component and the presence of papillary growths in the periphery are highly suspicious of malignancy, and are clearly depicted.

Fig. 10: Left: orthogonal plane view of a ‘pure’ ovarian cyst. Right: the same structure under radiance architecture system view. Notice the external surface (up) showing adhesions to surrounding tissue and the homogeneous and smooth internal surface (down). This finding is considered benign until a maximum diameter of 5 mm, but in our opinion up to 10 mm, can be considered benign providing the absence of papillary projections and septations.

It is not easy to visualize with vaginal 2D US. Can be observed with 3D, but we recommend to use the ‘niche or shell’ mode (Figs 3, 8, 15 and 27).
- View or appearance of ascites (malignant)
- View of local or liver metastasis (malignant)

CONCLUSION
Three-dimensional real-time ultrasound has been proved to be better than 2D sonography in the evaluation of adnexal masses. This review adds further on the topic.
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Numerous publications describe the use of 2D sonography in the evaluation of ovarian masses. There are fewer medical publications describing the study of ovarian tumors by 3D ultrasound with or without Doppler. Nevertheless, it is unquestionable that the image quality and definition of structures are enormously superior with 3D ultrasonography.

HDlive and RAS are innovative tools within 3D ultrasound modalities, namely HDlive and RAS, for the assessing of ovarian masses.

Numerous publications describe the use of 2D sonography in the evaluation of ovarian masses.

There are fewer medical publications describing the study of ovarian tumors by 3D ultrasound with or without Doppler. Nevertheless, it is unquestionable that the image quality and definition of structures are enormously superior with 3D ultrasonography.

HDlive and RAS are innovative tools within 3D ultrasonography; these softwares provide a more realistic vision and definition of ovarian masses. Three-dimensional ultrasound and volumetric reconstruction allow a complete virtual navigation throughout the

Fig. 11: Cystic formation observed in two-dimensional and HDlive reconstructions. HDlive shows more clearly the inner surface of the cystic mass, which contains two small homogeneous vesicular projections, suggesting a benign pathology

Fig. 12: The two-dimensional ultrasound evaluation shows a small vesicle and a solid implantation base (arrows), both showing homogeneous borders, highly suggestive of benignity

Fig. 13: Above: Two-dimensional image of an ovarian cyst with a small endophytic tumoral component. Bottom: The same ovarian mass under HDlive visualization shows that the endophytic growth has a smooth and uniform surface, thus, benign in nature

Fig. 14: Close-up view of a small endophytic component within a 'pure' ovarian cyst; although small in size, HDlive shows an irregular and heterogeneous surface with small papillary projections. These features are highly suspicious of malignancy. Compare these findings with the ones in Figures 11 to 13
Fig. 15: Cystic ovarian tumor in three-dimensional ultrasound and HDlive reconstructions showing a small papilla extremely vascularized (Doppler energy). Although the capsule is complete (see the ‘shell’ or ‘niche’ image, below right in red color), the whole aspect is of malignancy.

Fig. 16: Multicystic ovarian tumor. Two-dimensional evaluation shows a highly suspicious solid tumoral mass (yellow arrow) adjacent to the cysts. HDlive clearly depicts the inner and external surface of the cysts, showing smooth characteristics of walls and septations compatible with a benign pathology. Moreover, the solid mass seems now more compatible with a corpus luteum under involution. The final pathologic diagnosis was a mucinous ovarian cystoadenoma. Compare with Figure 18.

Fig. 17: HDlive image of a mixed (cystic-solid) ovarian mass. The yellow arrow shows a solid, irregular part of the mass. The cystic formations have different size, irregular thickness of the walls, and isolated papillae with endophytic growth.
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Fig. 18: HDlive and its silhouette mode of an ovarian serous cystoadenocarcinoma. Notice, especially in the silhouette view, the irregular size, shape, and limits of the multiple small cysts. All these findings are strongly suggestive of malignancy.

Fig. 19: Cystic multiseptated nonhomogeneous tumor. The left cyst (red arrow) is homogeneous and looks benign. The right cyst (yellow arrow) shows endophytic growth and papillae, very suspicious of malignancy. The septum between the cysts is also thick and amorphous (mainly malignant).

Ovarian tissue in the three spatial planes, facilitating a precise evaluation of intratumoral features, such as discrimination of liquid vs solid components, characteristics of papillary projections and septations (if present), and the description of vascular trees (if present) as well as external features, such as tumor diameter, wall thickness, contour and boundaries. Furthermore, this important information is provided through the reconstruction of realistic 3D images.

The current study shows that HDlive and RAS offer unique ways for assessing women with ovarian masses; anatomically realistic images of the region of interest can be obtained with HDlive; and the spatial relationship and boundaries of intratumoral surface structures can be clearly depicted with RAS. Altogether, this information is extremely important to facilitate the differentiation between benignity and malignancy of ovarian masses, and is in agreement with previous publications on the issue.

Obviously, expertise is a key component for achieving a good diagnostic performance; nevertheless, modern 3D ultrasound modes may facilitate practitioners diagnostic competence and level on confidence by means of providing high quality and great definition images, moreover, the learning curve in trainee programs could be also significantly reduced as suggested by others.

Our publications and much more specifically the one of Hata et al have showed that ‘HDlive facilitated a more precise evaluation of adnexal tumors and accurate characterization of the intratumoral surface structures’.

In particular, and what is extremely important to increase image quality and so facilitating the differentiation between benignity and malignancy of adnexal masses, HDlive is more definitely showing particularly,
Fig. 20: Ovarian, mainly cystic, malignant tumor. The most interesting tumor part is the one marked with the yellow arrow. It looks like a ‘malignant star’ full of irregular walls and exophytic papillary projections.

Fig. 21: Cystic, irregular and nonhomogeneous ovarian tumor. Many necrotic parts and papillary growths are visible. The image is totally compatible with malignancy.

a natural and anatomically more realistic appearance of the smooth thin or irregular thick septum, smooth or irregular papillary projection, and smooth, regular, or irregular inner surface.

Hata et al.\textsuperscript{13} finally speak about a ‘surgeon’s eye’ view of unique intratumoral anatomic structures ‘not easily seen or understood using the conventional 3D sonographic mode’.
Fig. 22: Comparative images of a heterogeneous solid-cyst ovarian mass. The three-dimensional ultrasound mode shows a higher image quality compared with two-dimensional ultrasound (above). The mixed component, solid-cystic and fluid, showed in the four images is completely amorphous, specially the solid component (HDlive view). The silhouette mode shows clearly the internal and external delimitation of the ovarian mass components. These findings are highly suggestive of malignancy.

Fig. 23: HDlive view of a pure ovarian cyst. Note the smooth and regular septation suggesting a benign pathology, whereas the findings of thick (>3 mm) and heterogeneous septations are highly suspicious of malignancy.

Summarizing, HDlive, and radiance system architecture or silhouette mode provide a much better vision quality of internal tumor structures, which facilitate the diagnosis.

In conclusion, state-of-the-art 3D ultrasound tools provide specific and essential information for the differentiation of benign and malignant ovarian masses. Thus, this technique may help to improve diagnostic confidence and accuracy for a correct clinical intervention, and has the potential for reducing the learning curve in trainee programs. However, further studies are needed to validate our findings, and to establish its role in clinical practice.
Fig. 24: Ovarian tumor with various cysts of different sizes. All are with fine, homogeneous and septations of same thickness and without endophytic growths. When depicted in different angles and light sources, they are all regular. These tumors are always benign.

Fig. 25: Two-dimensional (2D) ultrasound (top left), system architecture or radiance silhouette (RAS) (above center and right) and a characteristic HDlive malignant tumor showing septum (bottom right, arrows) minimum papillary projections not visible in 2D ultrasound (top left). When RAS is applied, a clear internal and external delimitations, and tumor boundaries can be observed.
Fig. 26: A solid ovarian tumor area shows numerous septa and irregular, thin and thick walls, larger than 3 mm and/or in homogeneous. This is very suspicious of malignancy.

Fig. 27: Small ovarian cancer shows in detail small inner papillary projections, typical of malignancy. Above and left: ‘niche’ or shell mode showing integral capsula. Below: HDlive showing the inner tumor with small papillae and endophytic growths. Even still few in number, they are specific to tumor malignancy, which means that atypical epithelium is growing. The ‘niche’ mode is used to demonstrate the integrity of the tumor capsule (see also Figs 3, 8, 15 and 27).

Fig. 28: Septated cyst. The septations are of different thickness (yellow arrow), and HDlive shows small papillae and exophytic grows (red arrow). In order to depict the image quality obtained with different ultrasound modes, please compare the two-dimensional US (to the right) with the 3D US (to the left).
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